



## MLP and Energy Infrastructure Market

### March 9, 2020 Commentary

At the March 5<sup>th</sup> OPEC meeting, OPEC, led by Saudi Arabia, recommended a 1.5 million barrel per day reduction in oil production due to lower crude oil demand from the coronavirus. The recommended allocation called for OPEC, mainly Saudi Arabia, to reduce production by 1 million barrels per day and non-OPEC, which is mainly Russia, to reduce production by 0.5 million barrels per day subject to Russia's agreement. Russia choose not to participate with OPEC's recommendation and their refusal resulted in Saudi Arabia reversing course suggesting that it would begin to increase oil production in April.

On Saturday, March 7<sup>th</sup>, Bloomberg reported that Saudi Arabia would increase oil production in April after failing to reach an agreement with Russia to cut production. Bloomberg news reported that Russia wants U.S. producers to reduce production as well. In addition, Russia is retaliating against the U.S. for U.S. sanctions placed on Russia specifically related to the construction of the Nord Stream 2 natural gas pipeline.

Oil prices continue to decline due to the impact of coronavirus on 2020 global oil demand is uncertain. Estimates for 2020 global demand reductions range from 600,000 – 1,300,000 barrels per day. Note this is the reason why OPEC recommended a 1.5 million production cut. Global oil supply could increase by 500,000 – 1,000,000 barrel per day based on how much Saudi Arabia increases production. The net result is an oversupplied global oil market between 1.1 and 2.3 million barrels per day. Keep in mind global oil demand is approximately 100 million barrels per day, so the market is oversupplied by 1-2%. History suggests when global oil inventories exceed historical averages then oil prices decline.

Most OPEC countries, including Saudi Arabia, are operating in a fiscal deficit and cannot afford to have oil prices in the \$20's or \$30's for an extended period of time. The average breakeven price for OPEC oil producers is \$80 per barrel, and that includes Saudi Arabia. According to Reuters, Saudi's cash reserves were \$490 billion at the end of 2019 down from \$714 billion in 2014. With current oil prices, Saudi Arabia's cash reserves would be reduced by \$50 - \$100 billion per year. In addition, Russia's reported breakeven is \$42 per barrel. OPEC is likely not to reverse the last four years of hard work to reduce global crude oil inventories.

In reaction, we think that U.S. producers are likely to accelerate the capital discipline that they had already begun last year or even two years ago and immediately start reducing drilling rigs. In fact, we got indications from some producers that they are already starting to reduce their overall rig count. U.S. production likely declines if low prices persist. For reference, during last oil price decline, U.S. oil production declined by 1.1 million barrels per day peak-to-trough.

The winner of the oil battle between Saudi Arabia, Russian, or the United States is not an either/or proposition. We need oil production from Saudi Arabia, Russia, AND the U.S. to balance oil markets longer term. Russia is effectively producing at its maximum rate of approximately 10 million barrels per day. Saudi Arabia is currently producing about 9.7 million barrels per day and has produced up to 11 million barrels per day in its history. And the U.S. is producing 13 million barrels per day today. As global oil demand continues to increase, the U.S. and Saudi Arabia will need to increase production.

Midstream assets remain essential and cash flows are resilient. The current Alerian Index (AMZ) price is significantly lower than the AMZ Index on February 11, 2016 when oil prices bottomed at \$26.21. Midstream balance sheets have improved since 2016 and dividend/distribution coverage ratios are much higher. At that time, midstream companies were probably dependent on the equity capital markets being open to finance capex needs and today they are nearly all self-funding. We expect midstream companies to focus free cash flow capital allocation on dividends and share buybacks. Prolonged low oil prices may result in some producer bankruptcies. However, there are over 9,000 oil producers in the U.S. according to the American Petroleum Institute. Currently, we don't expect producer bankruptcies to materially impact the cash flow of our midstream investments. The vast majority of the counterparties to public midstream companies are investment grade and many producers are well-hedged for 2020.

On the positive side, low oil prices are great for the consumer. Consumer response to low oil prices has been strong in the past with global oil demand growth rising to 1.6 million barrels per day or 60% higher than normal in 2016 and to 1.8 million barrels per day or 80% higher than normal in 2017. Gasoline, diesel, and jet fuel demand rises when oil prices are low. Crude oil storage likely benefits if the forward curve for crude oil moves higher to steeper contango, and contango effectively means current prices are lower than longer-dated prices. There is more to the U.S. energy sector than just oil. Natural gas prices will likely improve and lower oil production means less associated natural gas production from oil production.

In conclusion, midstream companies generate primarily fee-based cash flows from moving energy products and those cash flows are not tied to oil prices. Midstream cash flows really remain resilient through crude oil price swings. Our analysis and conclusions are that midstream EBITDA actually increased during the 2015/2016 decline in oil prices. Counter-party risk over the last 30 years also has been essentially negligible to midstream companies despite fear to the contrary.

Oil prices are declining, but we think that's a temporary decline. Saudi Arabia, in our opinion, is using lower oil prices as a negotiating tactic but cannot afford low oil prices for a prolonged period of time. The Saudi's strategy is to lower oil prices in the short-term forcing Russia back to the negotiating table, so a new oil production cut agreement can be put in place. Now, don't forget, U.S. remains the largest oil producer in the world. Lower oil prices will accelerate though a reduction in capital spending for U.S. oil producers that will likely halt U.S. oil production growth.

Disclaimer: Nothing contained in this communication constitutes tax, legal, or investment advice. Investors must consult their tax advisor or legal counsel for advice and information concerning their particular situation. This communication contains certain statements that may include "forward-looking statements." All statements, other than statements of historical fact, included herein are "forward-looking statements." Although Tortoise believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, they do involve assumptions, risks and uncertainties, and these expectations may prove to be incorrect. Actual events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. This communication reflects our views and opinions as of the date herein, which are subject to change at any time based on market and other conditions. We disclaim any responsibility to update these views. These views should not be relied on as investment advice or an indication of trading intention. Discussion or analysis of any specific company-related news or investment sectors are meant primarily as a result of recent newsworthy events surrounding those companies or by way of providing updates on certain sectors of the market. Tortoise, through its family of registered investment advisers, does provide investment advice to Tortoise related funds and others that includes investment into those sectors or companies discussed in these communications. As a result, Tortoise does stand to beneficially profit from any rise in value from many of the companies mentioned herein including companies within the investment sectors broadly discussed.

The Alerian MLP Index is the leading gauge of energy infrastructure master limited partnerships (MLPs). The capped, float-adjusted, capitalization-weighted index, whose constituents earn the majority of their cash flow from midstream activities involving energy commodities, is disseminated real-time on a price-return basis (AMZ) and on a total-return basis (AMZX).

This material contains the opinions of the author and not necessarily those of Guggenheim Partners, LLC. Guggenheim Partners, LLC ("Guggenheim") or any of its affiliates. Guggenheim Investments represents the following investment management business of Guggenheim: Guggenheim Partners Investment Management, LLC, Security Investors, LLC, Guggenheim Funds Distributors, LLC, Guggenheim Funds Investment Advisors, LLC, Guggenheim Corporate Funding, LLC, Guggenheim Partners Europe Limited, GS GAMMA Advisors, LLC, and Guggenheim Partners India Management.. Tortoise Capital Advisors serves as Investment Sub Advisor for the Fiduciary/Claymore MLP Opportunity Fund (FMO). Guggenheim Funds Investment Advisors, LLC serves as Investment Adviser.

Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. An investment in MLP units involves risks that differ from a similar investment in equity securities, such as common stock, of a corporation. Holders of MLP units have the rights typically afforded to limited partners in a limited partnership. As compared to common shareholders of a corporation, holders of MLP units have more limited control and limited rights to vote on matters affecting the partnership. There are certain tax risks associated with an investment in MLP units. Additionally, conflicts of interest may exist between common unit holders, subordinated unit holders and the general partner of an MLP; for example, a conflict may arise as a result of incentive distribution payments.